1	CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT
2	JAN - 7 2014
3	CENTRAL DISPUTY DE CALIFORNIA DEPUTY
4	DE VIII
5	
6	
7	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
8	CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
9	
10	ROY LONGMAN AS TRUSTEE FOR) No. CV 13-8425 UA (DUTYx) THE LONGMAN REVOCABLE
11	TRUST, ORDER SUMMARILY REMANDING ACTION TO STATE COURT
12	Plaintiff, Plaintiff,
13	v. {
14	MICHAEL WILDS, {
15	Defendant. {
16	
17	On November 14, 2013, Lawrence Evans ("Evans"), an individual claiming a
18	possessory interest in the real property subject to what appears to be a routine unlawful
19	detainer action in California state court, lodged a Notice of Removal of that action to this
20	Court and also presented an application to proceed in forma pauperis.
21	The Court has denied the in forma pauperis application under separate cover
22	because the action, again, was not properly removed. To prevent the action from
23	remaining in jurisdictional limbo, the Court issues this Order to remand the action to
24	state court.
25	The Court will remand this "Complaint - Unlawful Detainer," Case No. 13U09585,
26	to state court summarily because Evans removed it improperly.
27	111
28	///

Simply stated, as the Court has previously determined, Plaintiff could not have brought this action in federal court in the first place, in that Evans does not competently allege facts supplying either diversity or federal-question jurisdiction, and therefore removal is improper. 28 U.S.C. § 1441(a); see Exxon Mobil Corp v. Allapattah Svcs., Inc., 545 U.S. 546, 563, 125 S. Ct. 2611, 162 L. Ed. 2d 502 (2005). Even if complete diversity of citizenship existed, the amount in controversy does not exceed the diversity-jurisdiction threshold of \$75,000. See 28 U.S.C. §§ 1332, 1441(b). On the contrary, the unlawful-detainer complaint recites that the amount in controversy does not exceed \$10,000.

Nor does Plaintiff's unlawful detainer action raise any federal legal question. *See* 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331, 1441(b).

Accordingly, IT IS ORDERED that (1) this matter be REMANDED to the Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles, Central District, 111 North Hill Street, Los Angeles, California 90012 for lack of subject matter jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1447(c); (2) that the Clerk send a certified copy of this Order to the state court; and (3) that the Clerk serve copies of this Order on the parties.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

GEORGE H. KING ()
Chief United States District Judge

Presented by:

/S/ FREDERICK F. MUMM
FREDERICK F. MUMM
United States Magistrate Judge